ATTACHMENT 4

STAKEHOLDER LIAISON’S REPORT
May 5, 2014

Cynthia Guidry, P.E., Deputy Executive Director  
Capital Programming and Planning Group
One World Way  
Los Angeles, CA 90045

Attention: Evelyn Quintanilla

Dear Mrs. Guidry,

I am submitting this letter in response to your request to consult with Stakeholders on the Midfield Satellite Concourse Project at LAX, Executive Director’s Review – Case Number 002-014LAXSP.

On March 6, 2014, the Stakeholder Liaison’s Office (SLO) received a request, from the Capital Programming and Planning Division requesting an Executive Director’s Review for the Midfield Satellite Concourse Project. With this request the SLO received a copy of the Specific Plan (Sec. 7.F.2.), a Project Description and the Site Plan. These documents were then made available online to all stakeholders, including Settlement Agreement Petitioners receiving a mailer/notification. The SLO’s transmittal of the project description and other documents did not address review under the California Quality Environmental Act (CEQA). Review of the Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) is not required by the SLO under the LAX Plan Compliance process, (Specific Plan, Sec. 7.F.2), however the mailer/notification used to inform stakeholders of the LAX Plan Compliance Review also included the Notice of Completion and Availability of a Draft EIR. LAWAs Planing, Entitlements and Land Use section went through the CEQA review process concurrently with the LAXPC Review process. The public review period officially began March 6, 2014, which gave the public an opportunity to provide comments, and identify concerns that may be considered by the Executive Director when making a recommendation on the proposed project. The public review period officially ended April 21, 2014.

The SLO, via the United States Postal Service (USPS) notified stakeholder living within a 500 feet radius from airport property line (over 5000 stakeholders) of the proposed project through a mailer (Attachment 1). Written requests to the LAX Master Plan Stipulated Settlement Agreement petitioners were sent via certified mail in a combined
package that included the Notice of Completion and Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (Draft EIR) and LAX Plan Compliance Review mailer/notification for the proposed project.

The information for the proposed project was also made available online at www.ourlax.org, and allowed stakeholders to submit a comment online. This report gives a summary of the comments received by the Stakeholder Liaisons Office during the public review period. A total of one comment for the LAX Plan Compliance Review (LAXPC) was received online. The comment was related to Transportation and its environmental impacts related to traffic. This comment, although submitted as part of the LAXPC process is a comment related to the Draft EIR and will be addressed in the Final Environmental Impact Report for this project. No written comments were submitted for the proposed project.

Attached to this report (Attachment 2) is a copy of the comment received during the public review period. If you have questions and/or need additional information, please do not hesitate in contacting the Stakeholder Liaison's Office at (800)919-3766 or (424) 646-5185.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Brenda Martinez-Sidhom
Stakeholder Liaison's Office
ATTACHMENT 1
NOTICE OF COMPLETION and AVAILABILITY of a Draft Environmental Impact Report (EIR) and LAX PLAN COMPLIANCE REVIEW for the Midfield Satellite Concourse

THE FOLLOWING IS A NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR THE DRAFT EIR FOR THE MIDFIELD SATELLITE CONCOURSE AT LOS ANGELES INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT (LAX) AND NOTIFICATION OF A RELATED PUBLIC WORKSHOP. THIS IS ALSO A NOTICE OF LAX PLAN COMPLIANCE REVIEW.

PROJECT LOCATION AND DESCRIPTION: Los Angeles World Airports (LAWA) has prepared a Draft EIR for the Midfield Satellite Concourse (MSC) North Project (MSC North Project) and future phase(s) of the MSC Program at Los Angeles International Airport (LAX), pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. The MSC Program consists of a new multi-level concourse located within the western portion of the airfield west of the existing Tom Bradley International Terminal (TBIT) and associated passenger processing space in a proposed Central Terminal Processor (CTP) that would be located in the Central Terminal Area (CTA) of LAX. The MSC Program also includes conveyance systems connecting the MSC and CTP as well as a new taxiway, taxiway, and apron and utilities required to serve the MSC. The facility would be capable of serving both international and domestic flights, and would provide LAWAN with the flexibility to accommodate existing demand for aircraft gates while modernizing other terminals at LAX, rehabilitating apron and taxiway pavement within the CTA, and reducing reliance on the West Remote Gates/Pads. Upon completion of the MSC Program, the concourse could accommodate up to 29 aircraft gates for Airplane Design Group (ADG) III to ADG VI aircraft. AdG III aircraft correspond to narrowbody jets (for example, the 7037) and AdG VI aircraft correspond to the largest jet aircraft, often referred to as new large aircraft (NLA) such as the 747 and 780 and the Airbus A380. The full MSC Program concourse would occupy a footprint with approximate dimensions of 2,400 feet in length (north–south) by 140 to 160 feet in width (east–west). The MSC Program facility, including the concourse building and associated apron areas, would encompass approximately 60 acres in the western portion of the airfield and 8 acres in the CTA for the CTP. Due to the size and scale of the MSC Program and immediate need to enable rehabilitation and modernization of existing facilities, LAWAN proposes to implement the program in independent phases. Phase 1 of the MSC Program is the construction of the northern portion of the multi-story MSC facility and associated improvements, referred to as the MSC North Project.

Components associated with the MSC North Project include: 1) a concourse of up to 11 gates and associated facilities; 2) improvements to taxiways and taxilanes; 3) ramp tower or Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) supplemental airport traffic control tower to control aircraft movement around the concourse facility and associated airfield; and 4) utilities that support the MSC North Project. The MSC North Project site, including the concourse building and associated apron areas, would encompass approximately 36 acres in the western portion of the airfield. Enabling projects needed to implement the MSC North Project include demolition and relocation of existing structures, removal of five remaining overnight (RON) aircraft parking spaces, removal and relocation of FAA navigational aids (beacon and antenna array), and removal and/or relocation of existing utility lines. It is anticipated that a temporary concrete batch plant would be installed on the site under an existing permit and utilized for construction of the proposed MSC North Project.

SIGNIFICANT IMPACTS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT: Implementation of the proposed MSC North Project is expected to result in significant and unavoidable impacts related to air quality during construction activities, greenhouse gas emissions, human health risk acute non-chronic hazard quotient for acrolein, and cumulative impacts to two different intersections related to construction traffic.

PUBLIC REVIEW AND COMMENT: The Draft EIR is being released for public review beginning Thursday, March 6, 2014, and it will be available for review at LAWAN and at the public libraries listed below.

- LAWAN Administrative Offices, One World Way, Suite 218, Los Angeles, CA 90045
- Westchester-Loyola Village Branch Library, 7114 West Manchester Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90045
- El Segundo Library, 111 West Mariposa Avenue, El Segundo, CA 90245
- Inglewood Library, 101 West Manchester Boulevard, Inglewood, CA 90301
- Culver City Library, 4875 Overland Avenue, Culver City, CA 90230
- Hawthorne Library, 12700 Grevelia Avenue, Hawthorne, CA 90250
- Dr. Mary McLeod Bethune Regional Branch Library, 3900 South Western Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90062

The document will also be available for review at LAWAN's website, www.ourlax.org. Comments can be submitted in any of the following ways: (1) written comments submitted at the public workshop (details below); (2) written comments submitted online at www.ourlax.org; or (3) written comments submitted to the following address:

Los Angeles World Airports, Capital Programming and Planning
Environmental & Land Use Planning – Attention: Lisa Trifletti, Director of Environmental and Land Use Planning
P.O. Box 92216, Los Angeles, CA 90009-2216
Comments must be received by LAWA no later than 5:00 p.m., Pacific Time, Monday, April 21, 2014.

PUBLIC WORKSHOP: A public workshop will be held by LAWA during the public circulation period to provide interested parties the opportunity to review and inquire about the Draft EIR in a workshop setting. **No decisions on the project will be made at the public workshop.** The public workshop and parking facilities are wheelchair-accessible. Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices, and other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided, if requested a minimum of 72-hours prior to the public workshop, by calling the LAX Stakeholder Liaison Office at (800) 919-3766. The workshop will be held as follows:

**When:** Tuesday, March 18, from 6:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

**Where:** Flight Path Learning Center, 6661 West Imperial Highway, Los Angeles, CA 90045

LAX PLAN COMPLIANCE REVIEW: Prior to issuing any grading, building or use of land permit for the proposed MSC North Project, the Los Angeles City Council must grant an LAX Plan Compliance approval pursuant to the LAX Specific Plan. This approval will be based on recommendations from LAWA’s Executive Director and the Board of Airport Commissioners. The Executive Director’s recommendation will be provided in a written report, which will include the results of LAWA’s consultation with the LAX Master Plan Stakeholder Liaison. This public review period provides an opportunity for stakeholders to provide comments and identify concerns that will be considered by the Executive Director in making this recommendation. Your comments to the LAX Stakeholder Liaison can be submitted via email to LAXStakeholderLiaison@lawa.org, online at www.ourlax.org, or to the following address:

Los Angeles World Airports, Stakeholder Liaison Office (SLO)  
Attention: Brenda Martinez-Sidhom  
P.O. Box 92216, Los Angeles, CA 90009-2216

**Comments must be received by the Stakeholder Liaison no later than 5:00 p.m., Pacific Time, Monday, April 21, 2014.**

For further information or questions regarding the Draft EIR, contact Lisa Trifiletti, Director of Environmental & Land Use Planning, at (424) 646-5186. To reach the Stakeholder Liaison, contact Brenda Martinez-Sidhom at (800) 919-3766.

*Si necesita asistencia en Español, por favor comuníquese con Evelyn Y. Quintanilla al (424) 646-5188.*
ATTACHMENT 2
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Email</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zipcode</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>DateSubmitted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>479</td>
<td>Anand</td>
<td><a href="mailto:bhakta.anand@gmail.com">bhakta.anand@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>11100 E. Artesia Blvd. Ste. i</td>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>90703</td>
<td>MSC North</td>
<td>Hi there, I appreciate the efforts to modernize LAX. I use it regularly. However, my main pain point and talking to others too, one of the main pain points that I don't see being addressed is a seamless transfer from one terminal to another. In other words, a system whereby I can catch a connecting flight without having to either walk a lot or go out of the terminal and get back through security check line all over again. A system like ATL or DTW where there are connecting trains that connect terminals will be a great help to passengers who don't have to go out of the airport and stand again in security lines removing shoes and coats and belts etc... Please consider this a suggestion for user improvement. Thanks.</td>
<td>5/1/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Levi</td>
<td><a href="mailto:levi@erjengineering.com">levi@erjengineering.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerritos</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>90703</td>
<td>MSC North</td>
<td>The Draft EIR has been released, is there a link where I can get a copy of it. Thank you all for responding.</td>
<td>3/22/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>476</td>
<td>TEST</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Test@today.com">Test@today.com</a></td>
<td>8710 Belford Ave.</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>90045</td>
<td>MSC North</td>
<td>We will only need the following six members to report in from 4 pm to 8 pm: Bruce Brown, Kelley Okada, Doug Yamaguchi, Leo Martinez, David Barrozzo, and Melodie Johnson.</td>
<td>4/22/2014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>477</td>
<td>TEST</td>
<td><a href="mailto:etester@gmail.com">etester@gmail.com</a></td>
<td>8710 Belford Ave.</td>
<td>Los Angeles</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>90045</td>
<td>MSC North</td>
<td>Please ignore, this is just a test</td>
<td>4/22/2014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I have concerns about the section titled Known Controversy and Issues to be Resolved, specifically under Transportation. The DEIR claims that there would be no long-term operational changes to traffic activity and traffic flows within the Airport area because it would not change the number of aircraft operations or where aircraft passengers are dropped off or picked up at LAX. In addition, trips associated with operation of the future phases of the MSC Program were analyzed only at a program level because the LAWA Master Plan EIR assumed that no private vehicles would circulate through the CTA. LAWAs recent actions have made it pretty clear that they intend to try to increase the total number of aircraft and passengers handled at LAX each year. The MSC North project will undoubtedly be used to handle some of this increased traffic, not just to alleviate capacity to allow for renovations of existing terminals. The on airport changes in traffic should be analyzed in this EIR to prepare for that eventuality. To ignore that it is a possibility is naïve. In the same way, there have been no serious indications that LAWA will actually close the CTA to private vehicles anytime in the near future so to assume that it will happen and not examine the possibility that it remain open is absurd.