# Technical Report LAX Master Plan Supplement to the Draft EIS/EIR

# S-10b. Supplemental Wastewater Technical Report

June 2003

Prepared for:

Los Angeles World Airports

U.S. Department of Transportation Federal Aviation Administration

Prepared by:

Camp Dresser & McKee Inc.

### **Table of Contents**

1.	Introduction	1
	Year 2000 LAX Sanitary Wastewater Flows	1
2. 3.	Environmental Consequences	1
List (	of Tables	
Table S		
Table S		
Table S	Land Uses Included in the Alternatives	4
Table S		
	Alternative D	3

i

# S-10b. Supplemental Wastewater Technical Report This Page Intentionally Left Blank

### 1. INTRODUCTION

This Technical Report presents detailed information related to wastewater generation and treatment associated with implementation of Alternative D - Enhanced Safety and Security Plan, of the Los Angeles International Airport (LAX) Master Plan. This report supports the Supplement to the Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report (EIS/EIR) for the LAX Master Plan prepared pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

This Technical Report provides supporting information pertaining to Year 2000 conditions and analysis results for Alternative D that are supplemental to the material presented in Section 4.25.2, *Wastewater*, of the Supplement to the Draft EIS/EIR, and to Technical Report 15b, *Wastewater Technical Report*, of the Draft EIS/EIR. Impacts associated with the information contained in this Technical Report are addressed in Section 4.25.2, *Wastewater*, of the Supplement to the Draft EIS/EIR.

Technical Report 15b, Wastewater Technical Report, of the Draft EIS/EIR contains detailed information pertaining to the general approach and methodology used in the analysis, regional and local context, wastewater generation factors, and analysis results for the No Action/No Project Alternative and Alternatives A, B, and C.

# 2. YEAR 2000 LAX SANITARY WASTEWATER FLOWS

The Draft EIS/EIR provided information pertaining to baseline wastewater conditions, including calculations of wastewater flows associated with the 1996 baseline year. Changes at LAX have occurred since 1996 that affect these calculated wastewater flows, including the construction of an additional 466,000 square feet of cargo uses, an additional 15,000 square feet of terminal facilities, and a 9,000-square-foot child care facility within LAX Northside. In addition, since 1996, LAWA has initiated the purchase of residential uses with Belford and Manchester Square as part of a voluntary acquisition associated with the Aircraft Noise Mitigation Program (ANMP). As of 2000, 147 single-family dwelling units and 142 multi-family dwelling units within Manchester Square, and 245 multi-family dwelling units within Belford had been purchased.

Site-specific wastewater generation data are not collected at LAX. In order to calculate wastewater generation associated with Year 2000 conditions, usage-based factors, as described in Section 2, General Approach and Methodology, of Technical Report 15b, *Wastewater Technical Report*, of the Draft EIS/EIR, were applied to land-use building areas. Year 2000 wastewater generation at LAX airport uses is estimated to be 807,946 gallons per day (gpd) (**Table S2**, Year 2000 Wastewater Generation). For comparison, wastewater generation at LAX associated with 1996 baseline conditions was estimated to be 797,672 gpd. (**Table S1**, 1996 Baseline Wastewater Generation)

### 3. ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

To determine the projected wastewater generation under each of the alternatives, the appropriate wastewater generation factor for each land use type was multiplied by the building square footage or units of that land use included in the alternative. **Table S3**, Land Uses Included in the Alternatives, presents a comparison of the land use types included in the alternatives. Wastewater generated by the Central Utility Plant (CUP) (through boiler blowdown) was calculated based on the generation of the existing CUP. Numbers in Table 8, Land Uses Included in the Alternatives, of Technical Report 15b of the Draft EIS/EIR have been revised since publication of the Draft EIS/EIR and are presented here in **Table S3**. Projected wastewater generation for the No Action/No Project Alternative and Alternatives A, B and C, are presented in Tables 9, 10, 11 and 12 of Technical Report 15b, *Wastewater Technical Report*, of the Draft EIS/EIR. **Table S4**, Projected Wastewater Generation Within the Master Plan Boundaries Under Alternative D, presents projected wastewater generation under Alternative D. A discussion of the environmental consequences of the wastewater generation projected for Alternative D is included in Section 4.25.2, *Wastewater*, of the Supplement to the Draft EIS/EIR.

Table S1

1996 Baseline Wastewater Generation

		1996 Baseline		
Land Use	Wastewater Factor (gpd/S.F./yr or gpd/unit/yr)	Building S.F. or Units	Wastewater Generation (gpd)	
LAX				
Airport Land Uses				
Terminal (S.F.)	.08	3,997,119	319,770	
Cargo (S.F.)	.02	1,900,000	37,002	
Maintenance (S.F.)	.11	1,440,000	159,406	
Ancillary (S.F.)	.19	1,294,000	224,794	
CUP (S.F.)	N/A	N/A _	56,700	
Subtotal Airport Uses			797,672	
Non-Airport Land Uses				
Belford				
Residential (Multi Family DUs)	160	583 _	93,280	
Subtotal Non-Airport Uses		_	93,280	
SUBTOTAL AIRPORT AND NON-AIRPORT USES			890,952	
Non-Project Uses Within Master Plan Boundaries				
Manchester Square				
Residential (Single Family DUs)	180	280	50,400	
Residential (Multi Family DUs)	160	1,706	272,960	
Subtotal Manchester Square		_	323,360	
Land Within Acquisition Areas			•	
Residential (Single Family DUs)	180	57	10,260	
Residential (Multi Family DUs)	160	69	11,040	
Hotel (Rooms)	130	2,083	270,790	
Office (S.F.)	0.15	1,108,312	166,247	
Retail (S.F.)	0.08	148,219	11,858	
Light Industrial (S.F.)	0.08	3,789,292	303,143	
Institutional (S.F.)	0.15	156,178	23,427	
Subtotal Acquisition Areas		_	796,764	
SUBTOTAL NON-PROJECT USES		=	1,120,124	
TOTAL MASTER PLAN BOUNDARIES		=	2,011,076	

N/A = Not Applicable

Notes: Information in table may not always total, due to rounding.

There is no baseline wastewater generation associated with Continental City or LAX Northside.

Source: Camp Dresser & McKee Inc., 2003.

Table S2
Year 2000 Wastewater Generation

	_	Year 2000		
Land Use	Wastewater Factor (gpd/S.F.) or gpd/unit)	Building S.F. or Units	Wastewater Generation (gpd)	
LAX	gparanty	Units	(gpu)	
Airport Land Uses				
Terminal (S.F.)	0.08	4.012.119	320.97	
Cargo (S.F.)	0.02	2,366,000 <sup>5</sup>	46,07	
Maintenance (S.F.)	0.11	1,440,000	159,40	
Ancillary (S.F.)	0.17	1,294,000	224,79	
CUP (S.F.)	N/A <sup>2</sup>	N/A _	56,70	
Subtotal Airport Uses			807,94	
Non-Airport Land Uses Belford				
Residential (Multi Family DUs)  LAX Northside	160	340	54,40	
Airport Related <sup>1</sup> (S.F.)	0.15	9,000	1,35	
Subtotal Non-Airport Uses			55,75	
SUBTOTAL AIRPORT AND NON-AIRPORT USES		-	863,69	
Non-Project Uses Within Master Plan Boundaries				
Manchester Square <sup>3</sup>				
Residential (Single Family DUs)	180	132	23,76	
Residential (Multi Family DUs)	160	1,579	252,64	
Subtotal Manchester Square			276,40	
Land Within Acquisition Areas				
Residential (Single Family DUs)	180	57	10,26	
Residential (Multi Family DUs)	160	69	11,04	
Hotel (Rooms)	130	2,083	270,79	
Office (S.F.)	0.15	1,108,312	166,24	
Retail (S.F.)	0.08 0.08	148,219	11,85	
Light Industrial (S.F.) Institutional (S.F.) <sup>4</sup>	0.08 0.15	3,789,292 156,178	303,14 23,42	
Subtotal Acquisition Areas	0.15	130,170	796,76	
SUBTOTAL NON-PROJECT USES		=	1,073,16	
TOTAL MASTER PLAN BOUNDARIES		<del>-</del>	1,936,86	

N/A = Not Applicable

Notes: The factors used to calculate projected wastewater generation were rounded.

There is no Year 2000 wastewater generation associated with Continental City.

Year 2000 wastewater generation associated with LAX Northside was limited to a childcare facility constructed subsequent to 1996.

Information in the table may not always total, due to rounding.

Source: Camp Dresser & McKee Inc., 2003.

Used office factor.

Subsequent to publication of the Draft EIS/EIR, the number of multi-family dwelling units within Belford was determined to be 585. As of December 2000, 245 units had been acquired.

Subsequent to publication of the Draft EIS/EIR, the number of dwelling units within Manchester Square was determined to be 279 single-family units and 1,721 multi-family units. As of December 2000, 147 single-family dwelling units and 142 multi-family dwelling units had been acquired.

Includes college, high school, elementary school and library land use.

Table S3

Land Uses Included in the Alternatives

Land Use		1996	Year	Alternatives 2015				
Name	Land Use	Baseline	2000	NA/NP	Α	В	С	D
Terminal (S.F.)¹         3.997,119         4,012,119         3,997,000         10,419,000         9,712,000         7,319,000         6,800,000           Cargo (S.F.)         1,900,000         2,366,000         2,342,000         4,811,000         859,000         834,000         1,368,000           Mon-Airport Land Uses         Beliford         Residential (Multi Family DUs)         583         340         341,000         2,92,000         1,720,000         3,198,000         1,764,000           Non-Airport Land Uses         Beliford         Febrora         Residential (Multi Family DUs)         583         340								
Cargo (S.F.)         1,900,000         2,366,000         2,342,000°         4,871,000         4,903,000°         2,342,000           Maintenance (S.F.)         1,440,000         1,440,000         1,440,000         841,000         859,000         834,000         1,568,000           Non-Airport Land Uses         Belford         1,294,000         1,294,000         2,260,000         1,720,000         3,198,000         1,764,000           Non-Airport Land Uses         Belford         83         340         340         3,198,000         1,764,000           LAX Northside Development*         583         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         340         341         340         340         341         340         340         340         341         340         340         341         340         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         340         341         341         341         341		0.007.440	4 0 4 0 4 4 0	0.007.000	10 110 000	0.740.000	7.040.000	0.000.000
Maintenance (S.F.)         1,440,000         1,440,000         1,440,000         2,40,000         285,000         834,000         1,368,000           Non-Airport Land Uses         Beliford         Companies								
Non-Airport Land Uses   Belford   Sester   Ses								
Non-Airport Land Uses   Belford   Residential (Multi Family DUs)   583   340				, ,	,		,	
Belford'           Residential (Multi Family DUs)         583         340         ************************************	Anciliary (S.F.)	1,294,000	1,294,000	1,294,000	2,260,000	1,720,000	3,198,000	1,764,000
Residential (Multi Family DUs)								
LAX Northside Development								
Office (S.F.)         1,580,000         1,580,000           Hotel (rooms)         1,400         1,400           Retail (S.F.)         60,000         60,000           Airport Related (S.F.)         9,000         750,000         750,000           R/D Business Park (S.F.)         70,000         750,000         1,170,000           Restaurant (S.F.)         70,000         750,000         750,000           Continental City           Office (S.F.)         3,000,000         850,000         850,000           Retail (S.F.)         100,000         850,000         850,000         850,000           Retail (S.F.)         850,000         850,0		583	340					
Hotel (rooms)   Retail (S.F.)								
Retail (S.F.)         9,000         60,000         60,000         750,000				, ,				, ,
Airport Related (S.F.)   9,000   750,000   1,170,000				,				,
R/D Business Park (S.F.)			0.000					
Restaurant (S.F.)	. ,		9,000	,				,
Continental City   Section   Secti	` ,							, ,
Office (S.F.)       3,000,000         Retail (S.F.)       3,000,000         Westchester Southside         Hotel (rooms)       1,308       1,308       1,308         Office (S.F.)       650,000       650,000       650,000         Retail (S.F.)       110,000       110,000       110,000       110,000       110,000       970,000       970,000       970,000       970,000       970,000       970,000       970,000       970,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000       40,000        40,000 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>70,000</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>70,000</td>				70,000				70,000
Retail (S.F.)   100,000				2 000 000				
Nestchester Southside								
Hotel (rooms)				100,000				
Office (S.F.)       650,000       650,000       650,000         Retail (S.F.)       110,000       110,000       110,000         R/D Business Park (S.F.)       970,000       970,000       970,000         Restaurant (S.F.)       40,000       40,000       40,000         Non-Project Uses Within Master Plan Boundaries         Manchester Square <sup>4</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)       280       132         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       1,706       1,579         Office (S.F.)       50,000         Hotel (rooms)       770         Industrial (S.F.)       1,720,000         Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)       57       57         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       69       69       42       42       42 <sup>2</sup> 69         Office (S.F.)       1,108,312       1,108,312       1,108,312       142,064       137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001					1 308	1 308	1 308	
Retail (S.F.)					•			
R/D Business Park (S.F.)   970,000   970,000   970,000   40,000					,			
Non-Project Uses Within Master   Plan Boundaries   Manchester Square								
Non-Project Uses Within Master   Plan Boundaries   Manchester Square					,		,	
Plan Boundaries         Manchester Square <sup>4</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)       280       132         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       1,706       1,579         Office (S.F.)       50,000         Hotel (rooms)       770         Industrial (S.F.)       1,720,000         Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)       57       57         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       69       69       42       42       42 <sup>2</sup> 69         Office (S.F.)       1,108,312       1,108,312       1,108,312       142,064       137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001	,				-,	-,	-,	
Manchester Square <sup>4</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)       280       132         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       1,706       1,579         Office (S.F.)       50,000         Hotel (rooms)       770         Industrial (S.F.)       1,720,000         Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)       57       57         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       69       69       42       42       42 <sup>2</sup> 69         Office (S.F.)       1,108,312       1,108,312       1,108,312       142,064       137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001								
Residential (Single Family DUs)       280       132         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       1,706       1,579         Office (S.F.)       50,000         Hotel (rooms)       770         Industrial (S.F.)       1,720,000         Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> 8         Residential (Single Family DUs)       57       57         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       69       69       42       42       42       69         Office (S.F.)       1,108,312       1,108,312       1,108,312       142,064       137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001								
Residential (Multi Family DUs) 1,706 1,579  Office (S.F.) 50,000  Hotel (rooms) 770  Industrial (S.F.) 1,720,000  Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs) 57 57 57  Residential (Multi Family DUs) 69 69 69 42 42 42 69  Office (S.F.) 1,108,312 1,108,312 1,108,312 142,064 137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001		200	400					
Office (S.F.)       50,000         Hotel (rooms)       770         Industrial (S.F.)       1,720,000         Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> 8         Residential (Single Family DUs)       57       57         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       69       69       42       42       42       69         Office (S.F.)       1,108,312       1,108,312       1,108,312       142,064       137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001								
Hotel (rooms)       770         Industrial (S.F.)       1,720,000         Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> 57         Residential (Single Family DUs)       57       57       57         Residential (Multi Family DUs)       69       69       42       42       42       69         Office (S.F.)       1,108,312       1,108,312       1,108,312       142,064       137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001	` ,	1,706	1,579		E0 000			
Industrial (S.F.)       Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)     57     57     57       Residential (Multi Family DUs)     69     69     42     42     42 <sup>2</sup> 69       Office (S.F.)     1,108,312     1,108,312     1,108,312     142,064     137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001								
Land Within Acquisition Areas <sup>5</sup> Residential (Single Family DUs)         57         57         57         57           Residential (Multi Family DUs)         69         69         69         42         42         42         69           Office (S.F.)         1,108,312         1,108,312         1,108,312         142,064         137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001								
Residential (Single Family DUs)         57         57         57         57         57         57         57         57         57         57         58         42         42         42         42         69         69         69         42         42         42         42         69         69         69         42         42         42         42         90         69         42					1,720,000			
Residential (Multi Family DUs) 69 69 69 42 42 42 69 Office (S.F.) 1,108,312 1,108,312 1,108,312 142,064 137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001		57	57	57				57
Office (S.F.) 1,108,312 1,108,312 1,108,312 142,064 137,010 <sup>2</sup> 901,001					12	42	42 <sup>2</sup>	
	` ,					-72		
	Hotel (rooms)	2,083	2,083	2,083	154		1,354	1,929

## Table S3 Land Uses Included in the Alternatives

	1996	Year	Alternatives 2015				
Land Use	Baseline	2000	NA/NP	Α	В	С	D
Retail (S.F.)	148,219	148,219	148,219	45,737	60,231 <sup>2</sup>	73,002	113,564
Light Industrial (S.F.)	3,789,292	3,789,292	3,789,292	1,196,544	83,329	$2,207,937^2$	3,542,231
Institutional <sup>6</sup> (S.F.)	156,178	156,178	156,178	85,902	85,902	0	102,912

- The minor differences in terminal and cargo square footage between Year 2000 and the No Action/No Project Alternative are attributable to improvements at LAX that were not foreseen at the time the No Action/No Project Alternative assumptions were developed. Actual terminal and cargo facilities under the No Action/No Project alternative would normally be the same as the facilities in 2000. However, the increased square footage does not materially alter the wastewater generation totals, nor affect the conclusions of this analysis.
- Modified since publication of the Draft EIS/EIR to correct an error. This modification does not alter the conclusions of the Draft EIS/EIR.
- LAX Northside is currently subject to a trip cap (refer to Chapter 4, Affected Environment, Consequences and Mitigation Measures (Analytical Framework Section), of this Supplement to the Draft EIS/EIR. Under Alternative D, this trip cap would be reduced, which would effectively reduce the total amount of development allowed in LAX Northside. As a result, wastewater generation associated with LAX Northside is overstated.
- Under the No Action/No Project Alternative, existing uses would be demolished. For purposes of this Supplement to the Draft EIS/EIR, no development is assumed. Under Alternative A, Manchester Square would be redeveloped with commercial/light industrial uses independent of the Master Plan. Under Alternatives B, C, and D, existing uses would be demolished, and the area would be incorporated into the overall Master Plan development.
- Only a portion of the land within the acquisition areas would be acquired for each individual build alternative. No land within the acquisition areas would be acquired under the No Action/No Project Alternative. The land within the Master Plan boundaries that would not be acquired under a particular alternative is assumed to remain in its current use.
- Includes college, high school, elementary school and library land use.

Source: Landrum & Brown, 2003.

Table S4

Projected Wastewater Generation Within the Master Plan Boundaries
Under Alternative D

		2015		
Land Use	Wastewater Factor (gpd/S.F.) or gpd/unit)	Building S.F. or Units	Wastewater Generation (gpd)	
LAX			, ,	
Airport Land Uses				
Terminal (S.F.)	0.08	6,800,000	544,000	
Cargo (S.F.)	0.02	2,342,000	45,610	
Maintenance (S.F.)	0.11	1,368,000	151,438	
Ancillary (S.F.)	0.17	1,764,000	306,442	
CUP (S.F.)	N/A	N/A _	56,700	
Subtotal Airport Uses			1,104,188	
Non-Airport Land Uses				
LAX Northside				
Office (S.F.)	0.15	1,580,000	237,000	
Hotel (Rooms)	130	1,400	182,000	
Retail (S.F.)	0.08	60,000	4,800	
Airport Related (S.F.) <sup>1</sup>	0.15	750,000	112,500	
R/D Business Park (S.F.) <sup>1</sup>	0.15	1,170,000	175,500	
Restaurant (S.F.)	0.92	70,000 _	64,155	
Subtotal Northside			775,955	
SUBTOTAL AIRPORT AND NON-AIRPORT USES			1,880,143	
Non-Project Uses Within Master Plan Boundaries				
Land Within Acquisition Areas				
Residential (Single Family DUs)	180	57	10,260	
Residential (Multi Family DUs)	160	69	11,040	
Hotel (Rooms)	130	1,929	250,770	
Office (S.F.)	0.15	901,001	135,150	
Retail (S.F.)	0.08	113,564	9,085	
Light Industrial (S.F.)	0.08	3,542,231	283,378	
Institutional (S.F.) <sup>1</sup>	0.15	102,912 _	15,437	
Subtotal Acquisition Areas			715,121	
SUBTOTAL NON-PROJECT USES		=	715,121	
TOTAL MASTER PLAN BOUNDARIES		=	2,595,263	

N/A = Not Applicable

Notes: The factors used to calculate projected wastewater generation were rounded.

Source: Camp Dresser & McKee Inc., 2003.

Used office factor.