

LAX/COMMUNITY NOISE ROUNDTABLE

Flight Track Data And Noise Subcommittees
Recap of Meeting
October 15, 2003

1. Call to order

Flight Track Data Subcommittee Chairman Mike Cassidy at 6:18 p.m. Samuel Greenberg Boardroom, LAX

2. Review/Consideration and Comment on the Aircraft Noise Elements of the LAX Master Plan Alternative D and the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS

Comments on Procedure

- Walter Gillfillan will prepare a draft recap of the October 15 meeting and forward it to Bob Holden for distribution to the Subcommittee members.
- Roy Hefner, Chairman of the Noise Subcommittee will prepare a revised draft of the Subcommittees' recommendations to the Roundtable. The revised draft will be presented to the Roundtable at its special meeting on October 22.

Comments on The Draft Recommendations:

- 1. Correct: Roundtable was created in September 2000
- 2. **Change**: your to the and you to they; **Add**: Justify the use of the outdated baseline information.
- 3. **Use** the terminology: "shifting noise from one community to another"
- 4. Use the terminology: "shifting noise from one community to another"
- 5. **Use** the terminology: "shifting noise from one community to another"
- 6. **Use** the terminology: "shifting noise from one community to another": Delete the last sentence
- 7. **Delete:** the third sentence.
- 8. **Add**: Why, in the no project alternative, is there an increase in nighttime noise?; **Change:** In the last sentence in the guidelines.
- 9. **Change:** Last sentence: How many times a day are single event thresholds exceeded?
- 10. Rearrange and clarify: Table
- 11. **Change:** the second sentence: Why were the actual numbers not used in the analysis? **Correct**: values
- 12. Correct: Aforementioned
- 13. **Delete:** First two sentences
- 14. **Delete:** Last sentence
- 15. **Delete:** The first sentence; **Revise:** the second sentence Why weren't the requirements for avigational easements on all past and future noise insulation programs?; **Delete:** The third sentence
- 16. **Change:** The first sentence Why is there no noise information

- 17. **Delete:** the semicolon after the question mark
- 18. **Add:** ...in the aircraft mix of the; **Add:** If so, describe.
- 19. **Change:**Alternative D capacity of 78.9 MAP; **Delete:** (Mike Cassidy); **Delete:** Second sentence.
- 20. None:
- 21. Change: 12 a.m. and 6:30 a.m.
- 22. None:
- 23. **Delete:** 6.2.3; **Add:** As a third paragraph Speech interference was the level of significance used in the analysis. Why was this not used to measure impact that would result in the need to identify mitigation actions?
- 24. Change: values; In other
- 25. Change: How can noise impacts that are "unavoidable" be acceptable?
- 26. None:
- 27. **Delete:** Second and third sentences: **Add:** While levels of significance have been selected for sleep awakening and for classroom speech interference, direct mitigation actions have been avoided by calling for additional research.
- 28. Delete: Letter
- 29. **Add:** A new reference to the noise from the people mover

Subcommittee Members and Public Comments (provided above)

Adjournment

The next meeting of the Roundtable will be a special meeting and will be held at 6:00 p.m. on October 22, 2003 in the Samuel Greenberg Boardroom, LAX.

The meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.