1. **Call to order**
   Flight Track Data Subcommittee Chairman Mike Cassidy at 6:18 p.m. Samuel Greenberg Boardroom, LAX

2. **Review/Consideration and Comment on the Aircraft Noise Elements of the LAX Master Plan Alternative D and the Supplemental Draft EIR/EIS**

   **Comments on Procedure**
   - Walter Gillfillan will prepare a draft recap of the October 15 meeting and forward it to Bob Holden for distribution to the Subcommittee members.
   - Roy Hefner, Chairman of the Noise Subcommittee will prepare a revised draft of the Subcommittees' recommendations to the Roundtable. The revised draft will be presented to the Roundtable at its special meeting on October 22.

   **Comments on the Draft Recommendations:**
   1. **Correct**: Roundtable was created in September 2000
   2. **Change**: your to the and you to they; **Add**: Justify the use of the outdated baseline information.
   3. **Use** the terminology: “shifting noise from one community to another”
   4. **Use** the terminology: “shifting noise from one community to another”
   5. **Use** the terminology: “shifting noise from one community to another”
   6. **Use** the terminology: “shifting noise from one community to another”; **Delete** the last sentence
   7. **Delete**: the third sentence.
   8. **Add**: Why, in the no project alternative, is there an increase in nighttime noise?; **Change**: In the last sentence …… in the guidelines.
   9. **Change**: Last sentence: …… How many times a day are single event thresholds exceeded?
   10. **Rearrange and clarify**: Table
   11. **Change**: the second sentence: Why were the actual numbers not used in the analysis? **Correct**: values
   12. **Correct**: Aforementioned
   13. **Delete**: First two sentences
   14. **Delete**: Last sentence
   15. **Delete**: The first sentence; **Revise**: the second sentence – Why weren’t the requirements for avigational easements on all past and future noise insulation programs?; **Delete**: The third sentence
   16. **Change**: The first sentence – Why is there no noise information ……
17. **Delete:** the semicolon after the question mark
18. **Add:** ...in the aircraft mix of the ..... ; **Add:** If so, describe.
19. **Change:** ....Alternative D capacity of 78.9 MAP ........ ; **Delete:** (Mike Cassidy); **Delete:** Second sentence.
20. **None:**
21. **Change:** 12 a.m. and 6:30 a.m.
22. **None:**
23. **Delete:** 6.2.3; **Add:** As a third paragraph – Speech interference was the level of significance used in the analysis. Why was this not used to measure impact that would result in the need to identify mitigation actions?
24. **Change:** values; In other
25. **Change:** How can noise impacts that are “unavoidable” be acceptable?
26. **None:**
27. **Delete:** Second and third sentences: **Add:** While levels of significance have been selected for sleep awakening and for classroom speech interference, direct mitigation actions have been avoided by calling for additional research.
28. **Delete:** Letter
29. **Add:** A new reference to the noise from the people mover

**Subcommittee Members and Public Comments** (provided above)

**Adjournment**
The next meeting of the Roundtable will be a special meeting and will be held at 6:00 p.m. on October 22, 2003 in the Samuel Greenberg Boardroom, LAX. The meeting was adjourned at 8:10 p.m.