

Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise

LAX Community Noise Roundtable September 20, 2010 Gene Reindel

WILLELLIN



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Overview

- Residences located near runways can experience high levels of low-frequency noise (LFN)
- LFN can induce "feelable" vibrations
- Standard sound insulation does not sufficiently reduce LFN



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies

- HMMH Collected data on LFN and associated induced structural vibrations
- Measurements were made at MSP, SFO and BWI, listening tests were conducted in an aircraft noise simulator and laboratory studies were conducted



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies -Aspects Examined

- Measured sound, vibration, insulation efficacy and resident's judgments
- For each takeoff, determined:
 - Sound Level (A- and C- Weighted)
 - Induced Vibration Levels

- Resident's "Rating" of sound
- Correlated Sound Levels with Vibration
 Levels and Resident Ratings



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies -Measurement Locations

- LFN levels may produce perceptible vibrations at considerable distances from the runway end
 - Only in limited directions due to the directional sound pattern produced by jet engines
 - Vibrations could occur 7,000 to 8,000 feet from the start-of-takeoff-roll

MILLELLE

Well outside the 65 DNL (CNEL) contour



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Study Measurement Locations – BWI Takeoffs





Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies Principal Findings

- Start-of-takeoff-roll, acceleration and thrust reversal generate high levels of LFN
 - Aircraft ground operations
- LFN below 200 Hz

WILLING STREET

- Human hearing range is from 20 Hz 20,000 Hz
- Low-frequency sounds propagate further and with less reduction due to their longer wavelength
- Standard A-weighting is unlikely to relate people's reactions to these low-frequency sounds



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Study Results – Resident Ratings (BWI)



ME

MILLEUM



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies -Principal Findings

• Vibration/rattle due to LFN

WILLING STREET

- Hubbard exterior sound level criteria
- C-Weighted Lmax correlated better with wall vibration and with resident ratings
- HMMH work for BWI and SFO suggest 75 dB to 85 dB maximum C-weighted levels result in perceptible window and wall vibrations
- C-Weighted levels preferable for estimating resulting vibration and annoyance potential



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies Results – Vibration vs Maximum Sound Level







Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies -Comparison with Human Judgments

Event 2 Indoors - Rating 90, Cmax = 82 dB

Event 1 Indoors - Rating 80, Cmax = 83 dB

Event 3 Indoors - Rating 30, Cmax = 76 dB

(Tokita & Nakamura thresholds)





Conclusions From PARTNER LFN Study

- Low-frequency sounds propagate further than highfrequency sounds and can annoy people far from the runway
- The Hubbard criteria work well for screening for vibration/rattle due to LFN
- A-Weighted and C-Weighted maximum noise levels work for predicting laboratory response at lower Aweighted noise levels (60 dB – 80 dB)
- For high levels use C-Weighted maximum levels or Tokita & Nakamura thresholds

WILLING W



Low-Frequency Aircraft Noise Studies -Conclusions

- C-Weighted maximums are most effective metric for screening for possible LFN problems
- Use C-Weighted maximum of 80 dB as screening threshold
- If possible, also measure associated A-Weighted maximum levels for additional screening information

 C-Weighted minus A-Weighted indicates significance of LFN



Low-Frequency Aircraft Takeoff Noise Study Conclusions

- C-Weighted better correlated with induced vibrations and resident ratings than A-Weighted
- Perceptible wall vibrations likely to occur for C-Weighted Lmax exceeding 75 dB – 85 dB
- C-Weighted Lmax possible predictor of subjective judgments of takeoff noise

MILLELLL





Questions?

