APPENDIX K  DOCUMENTATION RELATED TO PRESENTATIONS TO AND DISCUSSIONS WITH THE VNY CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL REGARDING THE DRAFT NOISE EXPOSURE MAPS

As discussed in Section 6.3, LAWA met twice with the VNY Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) to present and respond to questions regarding the draft NEMs. The first meeting was on October 4, 2011, which provided LAWA with a timely opportunity to announce the start of the comment period. The second meeting was on November 1, 2011, in response to a CAC request at the first meeting for an opportunity to discuss the draft after the committee members and other attendees had the opportunity to review the draft.

LAWA staff made a PowerPoint presentation at the first meeting. That presentation, the meeting agendas, and meeting minutes that summarize related discussion are presented below.
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Van Nuys Airport
Draft Updated 2011 and 2016
Part 150 Noise Exposure Maps

Part 150 “Airport Noise Compatibility Planning”

- Voluntary federal program
- Defines standards for airport operators to use in
  - Documenting noise exposure in the airport environs
  - Establishing programs to minimize noncompatible land uses
- Noise Exposure Maps (NEMs) submission must include
  - Annual noise exposure and land use compatibility analyses for existing and five-year forecast conditions
  - FAA accepts use of CNEL contours in California
  - Must use FAA’s Integrated Noise Model to develop contours
  - Documentation of
    - Data sources, analyses, and related FAA approvals
    - Public consultation processes consistent with Part 150 requirements
VNY Part 150 Background

- In 2009, the FAA approved the 2001 existing condition and 2006 future condition (with mitigation) NEMs from the 2003 Part 150 study
- The FAA’s 2009 Record of Approval (ROA) for the Part 150 Noise Compatibility Program (NCP) recommended that LAWA update the NEMs “due to their age”
- LAWA has completed draft NEMs and associated documentation for forecast 2011 and 2016 conditions
- LAWA is undertaking consultation that exceeds Part 150 requirements
- Anticipate submission of completed 2011 and 2016 NEMs to FAA in December 2011

2011 and 2016 CNEL contours are very similar
Comparison of 65 Decibel CNEL for 2011 and 2016 to 2006 “Mitigated” NEM from 2003 Part 150 Submission

- 2011 and 2016 are narrower and shorter, particularly to south
  - East and west side “nodes” reflect use of improved INM ability to model helicopters
- Change is largely due to
  - Quieter jet fleet
  - Use of improved noise abatement departure procedures (NADPs), as verified in “fly-friendly” study

Population within contours is down from 2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Land Use Category</th>
<th>Dwellings and Residents within 65 dB CNEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dwellings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Compatible</td>
<td>1,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noncompatible</td>
<td>1,347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Compatible</td>
<td>1,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noncompatible</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Compatible</td>
<td>1,123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Noncompatible</td>
<td>899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- A majority of residents are compatible (e.g., sound-insulated) dwellings
- Small increase from 2011 to 2016 is due to forecast changes in activity
- There are no non-residential sensitive land uses (e.g., schools, places of worship, etc.) within the 65 dB CNEL contours for 2011 and 2016
Major Public Consultation Steps

- October 4, 2011 CAC presentation
- 30-day public review and comment period (10/10/11 – 11/09/11)
  - Published newspaper notices
  - Direct notices to
    - All tenants, with a request to notify subtenants and post copies of the notice
    - All public agencies with land use control within the 65 dB CNEL
    - FAA tower, district office, regional office
    - Los Angeles City Planning Department
    - Los Angeles County Airport Land Use Commission
- Draft NEMs will be available for review
  - At VNY administrative offices
  - On LAWA website
- Comprehensive documentation in final FAA submission
  - Including copies of all comments received

Comments are due on November 9, 2011

Discussion

Thank you for your attention.
VAN NUYS AIRPORT CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
October 4, 2011 - Agenda: 7:00 p.m.
VAN NUYS FLYAWAY
2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
7610 Woodley Ave, Van Nuys, CA 91406

(Public comments are heard after Council discussion of each agenda item.)

CALL TO ORDER – CHAIR KEN MILLER

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF September 6, 2011

1. STAFF REPORTS
   A. VNY Part 150 NEM (Noise Exposure Map) Update Presentation – Discussion/Action
   B. Capital Improvement Update for VNY Golf – Discussion/Action
   C. Annual Noise Conference – Discussion/Action

2. REPORT FROM THE CHAIR

3. BOAC AGENDA ITEMS CONCERNING VNY

4. PUBLIC COMMENT – NON-AGENDA ITEMS – Discussion

5. NEW BUSINESS
   A. SFAR (for Mount Lee Area) and HR2677 (Legislation before Congress) – Discussion/Action

6. OLD BUSINESS
   A. Committee Reports – Discussion/Action
       1. Status of Prop Park Development – Discussion/Action

7. EMERGENCY ITEMS SINCE POSTING OF AGENDA – Discussion/Action

8. ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ COMMENTS - NON-AGENDA ITEMS

9. ADJOURNMENT

NEXT MEETING: November 8, 2011
VAN NUYS FLYAWAY
2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
7610 Woodley Ave, Van Nuys, CA 91406

Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability, you are advised to make your request at least 72 hours prior to the meeting you wish to attend. For additional information, please contact Van Nuys Airport Public and Community Relations (818) 442-6526.
VAN NUYS AIRPORT CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
Tuesday, October 4, 2011
MINUTES

A meeting of the Van Nuys Airport Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) was called to order at 7:19 p.m. by Ken Miller. Members present: Don Schultz, Ken Miller, Elliot Sanders, Ron Merkin, Gerald Silver, David Rankell, David Bernardoni, Laurence Rabe, Harold Sullivan

Members Absent: Rick Flam, Wayne Williams, Wendy Saunders, Bob Frazier, Harold Lee, Roger Ortiz, Wendy Saunders

The Council vacancies as of August 2, 2011 are as follows: one appointment from the Board of Airport Commissioners and one appointment from Councilmember Dennis Zine.

The minutes from the September 6, 2011 meeting were unanimously approved.

1.) STAFF REPORTS
A. VNY Part 150 NEM (Noise Exposure Map) Update Presentation – Discussion/Action
Mr. Scott Tatro, LAWA Environmental Affairs Officer, made a PowerPoint presentation (attached) regarding the Van Nuys Airport Draft Updated 2011 and 2016 Part 150 Noise Exposure Maps.

Mr. Silver asked what the future growth of helicopter operations is expected to be. He also requested a hard copy or disk format of this draft document.

Mr. Romo stated they are trying to encourage people to use electronic format as this is a very thick document to print. He stated the link to this document was emailed to the CAC.

Mr. Silver stated there is an inadequate amount of data on the PowerPoint presentation in order to comment on. He asked if Mr. Tatro could be invited to attend the November meeting with another PowerPoint on this document or at least have the document on hand.

Mr. Miller asked Mr. Tatro if he could provide them with a simple analysis of the document at the November meeting.

Mr. Tatro stated yes but asked specifically what part of the document they want to learn more about.

Mr. Silver stated he wants to know the future projections of propeller operations and helicopter operations at VNY, narrowing down on information specifically on these two types of aircraft.

Mr. Sanders stated this item should be deferred to the November meeting for comments.

Mr. Rankell stated the 65 CNEL shrunk and that is a good sign.

Mr. Tatro stated yes comparing from 2006 to 2016 it did shrink.

Mr. Rankell asked how many homes that were in the original 65 CNEL were insulated that would not qualify at this time.

Mr. Tatro stated 400-450 homes are now not in the 65 CNEL.
Mr. Miller stated the members should review the material online and it will be on the November agenda for further comments.

Mr. Romo asked the members to email Mr. Tatro in advance with questions so he can prepare for the meeting.

B. Capital Improvement Update for VNY Golf – Discussion/Action
Mr. Romo stated that Mr. Sausse, President of Southern California Golf, was invited to the meeting but had a conflict and could not attend. He also stated he could go over notes about the status of VNY Golf or defer it to the next meeting.

Mr. Miller stated the item should be deferred to the November meeting when a representative of Southern California Golf could attend.

Mr. Schulz asked why improvements are taking longer than they had originally stated.

Mr. Romo stated he understands that they are trying to work on administrative issues with the construction Bond but everything else is ready to go with the Construction Approval.

Mr. Miller stated this item be deferred to the November meeting.

Mr. Romo stated it is budget time again for FY 2013. He will have more information at the November meeting.

Mr. Sanders advised about an online edition of Airport Business regarding the closure of runway 16R and the cost to the FBOs.

Mr. Romo stated old information from a meeting in March 2011 with the editors, Ms. Gina Marie Lindsey, and he was picked up in this article. At the time of the meeting the FAA was not established as a funder of this project, but now, by all indications 95% of the project will be funded by the FAA, 2 1/2 by the California Department of Transportation, and 2 1/2% by LAWA.

Mr. Sanders asked if there is a projection on how long 16R will be closed.

Mr. Romo stated HNTB, the firm selected to design the Runway 16R reconstruction project, is responsible for the schedule and possible alternatives.

Mr. Rodine asked about the funding of this project.

Mr. Miller stated this is not an agenda item and to save the discussion for another time.

C. Annual Noise Conference – Discussion/Action
Ms. Sanchez stated the Annual Noise Symposium will be held in Palm Springs from March 4-6, 2012. She asked that names of possible attendees be provided at the next meeting.

Mr. Miller asked if anyone is interested in attending this symposium.

Mr. Rankell volunteered to attend.

2.) REPORT FROM CHAIR
Mr. Miller stated his focus as the newly appointed Chair of the CAC will be to increase awareness and participation and awareness of the CAC among the public and VNY tenants and pilots. He stated that participation is needed from pilots, business owners, and area residents to hear each other regarding various issues that affect them all. He also stated that many people know that CAC exists but are not aware they have a voice and can be influential.

Mr. Merkin stated it should be an Action Item on ideas of how to increase awareness of the CAC.

Mr. Miller stated to add this to the November Agenda.

3.) BOAC AGENDA ITEMS CONCERNING VNY
Mr. Romo discussed the five items concerning VNY on the September 19th BOAC Agenda:

Item #2 – Fourth Amendment to Operating Agreement No. DA-3830 with CUSA CC, LLC dba Coach America Los Angeles.

Item #10 – Award of three (3)-year Contract to One Source Distributors, LLC.

Item #11 – Agreement with the County of Los Angeles Department of Agricultural Commissioner/Weights and Measures.

Item #13 – First Amendment to Concession Agreement No. LAA-8444 with Jaroth Inc. dba Pacific Telemanagement Services.

Item #18 – One (1)-year lease with the County of Los Angeles for a single-story building located at 16200 Daily Drive in Van Nuys Airport.

All the above items were approved by the BOAC.

Mr. Romo discussed the two items concerning VNY on the October 3rd BOAC Agenda:

Item #6 – Join City Contract No. 59081 with Petrochem Manufacturing, Inc.

Item #8 – Award of Contract to Municipal Maintenance Equipment, Inc.

Both of the above items were approved by the BOAC.

Mr. Rankell inquired about Item #2 on the September 19th Agenda. He asked if this agreement includes the “out of service” kiosks at the Van Nuys FlyAway Bus Terminal.

Mr. Romo stated LAX runs the FlyAway bus service and Ms. Meighan Langlois would be the person to contact on inquiries. He stated Ms. Ghoukassian could provide Mr. Rankell with Ms. Langlois’ phone number.

Mr. Miller stated he thought these kiosks were not going to be used for some reason.

Mr. Rankell stated he will contact Ms. Langlois on this item.

4.) PUBLIC COMMENT
Mr. George Abrahams, a Beachwood Canyon resident, stated his group submitted the Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) for the Mount Lee Area to the FAA. He stated the FAA has six months to respond. They also sent a copy to Congressman Berman’s office but there is not much they can do. Mr. Abrahams also discussed the legislation before Congress, HR2677. He stated he just received communication from the Torrance Homeowners Group that are concerned with helicopter issues and they forwarded information that Congressman Berman had written a letter to
the Chairman of Aviation Sub-Committee on Trans Infrastructure of the House, Congressman Tom Petri. They are requesting that this Bill be given a hearing. Mr. Abrahams stated if anyone wants to support this Bill they should call Congressman Petri at 202-226-2476 or fax at 202-225-2356. He also stated his group is meeting with Mr. Larry Welk, President of the Professional Helicopter Pilots Association, on October 6, 2011.

Mr. Abrahams inquired about Mr. Oeland’s discussion with the engineering firm on helicopter noise suppression options. Mr. Oeland was absent and therefore not available for inquiries.

5.) NEW BUSINESS

A. SFAR (for Mount Lee Area) and HR2677 (Legislation before Congress) – Discussion/Action

Mr. Silver stated Congressman Berman called him regarding HR2677. Mr. Silver advised him that it would help to hold the hearing for this Bill in Los Angeles, but the Congressman stated the hearing will have to take place in Washington, D.C. Mr. Silver stated he wants to move to support this Bill.

Mr. Sanders asked if there is an altitude indicated on the Bill.

Mr. Silver stated he read the Bill and it only allows the FAA to study the issue and possibly issue a regulation to improve the noise.

Mr. Miller and Mr. Rankell agreed with Mr. Silver.

Mr. Sanders stated he agrees with Mr. Silver in that the noise needs to be relieved but he wants more details on the Bill.

Mr. Rankell stated helicopters have become a big media item and have been a problem for quite some time. He went to Washington, D.C. in 1996 or 2000 with Mr. Silver and testified at FAA Headquarters about non-military aircraft over densely populated areas. New York City representatives were also present and voicing the same concerns. Mr. Rankell stated 10 years later there is still no solution but that this Bill is a step in the right direction.

Mr. Miller stated he agrees with Mr. Sanders that this Bill is not specific enough. He would like to see it possibly restated to address specific areas.

Mr. Rankell stated it’s not often that a Congressman writes a Bill on this issue and something has to be highlighted on this issue because of the constant complaints. He stated that maybe pilots could be given a minimum altitude.

Mr. Miller stated if they support it as it is written, it is unlikely to be supported by the FAA.

Mr. Rodine stated this document is excluding safety and he agrees with the requirement of specificity. He referred to the picture of the Fire Department helicopter that crashed and he understands that this crash was not a result of pilots getting too close to the ground, but rather an aircraft having mechanical issues. And with this observation and language in the Bill saying that aircraft is exempt because it is a LAFD helicopter really damages the argument. He encourages when drafting this to be very focused and not go into places like this and to keep it real. He stated he could live with noise sensitive areas but cannot live with legislation that has internal inconsistencies in it.
Mr. Abrahams stated the only two ways a rule can be submitted is a Committee internal to the FAA and a petition process, which is what they are using. He stated they came up with the 2000 feet when they found that 2000 feet is the common denominator in Federal regulations at this time.

Mr. Silver stated there are two issues here, the HR2677 Bill and the SFAR for the Mount Lee Area.

Ms. Fran Reichenbach, President of the Beachwood Canyon Neighborhood Association, stated she thinks if you make the Bill too specific it could limit the possibilities for it being successful and not being specific gives it more of a chance of getting attention.

Mr. Schultz stated the Bill should not be more specific than it already is because it’s stating the FAA will make the regulations for the area specifically.

Mr. Merkin stated he is supporting Mr. Silver. He also stated another thing that could help this cause is support from Larry Welk, the President of the Professional Helicopter Pilots Association.

Mr. Sanders stated he supports the SFAR but not HR2677.

Mr. Silver introduced a motion as follows:

“The Citizen’s Advisory Council supports HR 2677, the Los Angeles Residents Helicopter Noise Relief Act being sponsored by Congressman Howard Berman, Congressman Brad Sherman, and Congressman Adam Schiff.”

The motion passed 6 to 2.

Mr. Silver introduced a motion as follows:

“The Citizen’s Advisory Council supports the Special Federal Aviation Regulation (SFAR) for the Mount Lee Area being proposed by the Beachwood Canyon Neighborhood Association.”

The motion passed unanimously.

Ms. Reichenbach stated they are aware there are successful and unsuccessful SFAR attempts.

Mr. Silver stated before the FAA approves an SFAR, it will have to go through intensive scrutiny.

6.) OLD BUSINESS

A. Committee Reports – Discussion/Action

1. Status of Prop Park Development – Discussion/Action

Mr. Romo stated this item was approved in City Council on September 28, 2011 and it is on the way back to the BOAC for final execution. He stated Mr. Argubright was invited to this meeting but had a conflict and could not attend.

Mr. Merkin stated he does not currently have any updates from his Beautification Committee.

Mr. Sanders stated the rocks/landscaping along Hayvenhurst looks great.

Mr. Romo stated it would not have been possible without help from LAX.
Mr. Sanders stated he has been working with the BOAC and Councilmember Zine’s Office to appoint the new members.

Ms. Sanchez stated the BOAC appointee should be appointed in mid-November.

Mr. Schultz stated his AdHoc Committee for a VNY BOAC includes Mr. Sanders, Mr. Merkin, and he. He stated the information has been relayed to Councilman Cardenas and he is waiting for action from the Councilman.

7.) EMERGENCY ITEMS SINCE POSTING OF AGENDA – Discussion/Action
No items were discussed.

8.) ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ COMMENTS – NON-AGENDA ITEMS
Mr. Sullivan stated the landscaping is going very well but some fencing may need some work. He also needs a current map of the area/airport.

Mr. Merkin asked Mr. Sullivan to contact him regarding the fence issue. He also stated he is looking forward to having a full Council.

Mr. Schulz stated Hayvenhurst is looking nice.

Mr. Sanders stated LAWA is stepping up on marketing the airport and is also participating in the upcoming NBAA Conference.

Mr. Silver congratulated Mr. Miller on his first meeting as Chair and appreciated the way he handled the meeting.

Mr. Miller thanked Mr. Schultz for his support and also Ms. Sanchez and Ms. Ghoukassian for their assistance.

9) ADJOURNMENT:
8:56 p.m.
VAN NUYS AIRPORT CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
November 1, 2011 - Agenda: 7:00 p.m.
VAN NUYS FLYAWAY
2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
7610 Woodley Ave, Van Nuys, CA 91406

(Public comments are heard after Council discussion of each agenda item.)

CALL TO ORDER – CHAIR KEN MILLER

APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF October 4, 2011

1. STAFF REPORTS
   A. Capital Improvement Update for VNY Golf – Discussion/Action
   B. VNY Part 150 NEM (Noise Exposure Map) Update Presentation (Follow-up) – Discussion/Action
   C. Customs at VNY – Discussion/Action

2. REPORT FROM THE CHAIR

3. BOAC AGENDA ITEMS CONCERNING VNY

4. PUBLIC COMMENT – NON-AGENDA ITEMS – Discussion

5. NEW BUSINESS
   A. Suggestions on how to increase awareness of the CAC – Discussion/Action
   B. Los Angeles City Council support of Santa Monica Airport Closure – Discussion/Action

6. OLD BUSINESS
   A. Committee Reports – Discussion/Action
      1. Status of Prop Park Development – Discussion/Action

7. EMERGENCY ITEMS SINCE POSTING OF AGENDA – Discussion/Action

8. ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ COMMENTS - NON-AGENDA ITEMS

9. ADJOURNMENT

NEXT MEETING: January 3, 2012
VAN NUYS FLYAWAY
2ND FLOOR CONFERENCE ROOM
7610 Woodley Ave, Van Nuys, CA 91406

Sign language interpreters, assistive listening devices or other auxiliary aids and/or services may be provided upon request. To ensure availability, you are advised to make your request at least 72 hours prior to the meeting you wish to attend. For additional information, please contact Van Nuys Airport Public and Community Relations (818) 442-6526.
VAN NUYS AIRPORT CITIZENS ADVISORY COUNCIL
Tuesday, November 1, 2011
MINUTES

A meeting of the Van Nuys Airport Citizens Advisory Council (CAC) was called to order at 7:05 p.m. by Ken Miller. Members present: Don Schultz, Ken Miller, Elliot Sanders, David Rankell, Harold Sullivan, Wayne Williams, Gerald Silver, Roger Oeland, Ron Merkin, Laurence Rabe, Rick Flam, Bob Frazier, Roger Ortiz

Members Absent: Harold Lee, David Bernardoni, Wendy Saunders

The Council vacancies as of August 2, 2011 are as follows: one appointment from the Board of Airport Commissioners and one appointment from Councilmember Dennis Zine.

The minutes from the October 4, 2011 meeting were unanimously approved.

1.) STAFF REPORTS
Mr. Romo reminded the Council of the December 5th CAC/LAX Advisory Committee Holiday Party at the 94th Aero Squadron. He asked Council members to contact Ms. Ghoukassian if they do not receive an invitation or have any questions.

A. Capital Improvement Update for VNY Golf – Discussion/Action
The new operators of the Van Nuys Golf Course, Mr. Ed Sause, President of Southern California Golf LLC and Craig McDonald, Regional Director made a Powerpoint presentation (attached) discussing the current status of the Van Nuys Golf Course improvements.

Mr. Sause stated they continue to show the restaurant to individuals but the major issue is the labor costs. He stated hopefully when the bathrooms are renovated and the roof is on and all the repairs are done inside the facility they can find an interested operator.

Mr. Silver asked what labor cost impediments that are being imposed on them by LAWA that affects their ability to find an operator for the restaurant.

Mr. McDonald stated since the Golf Course sits on LAWA property they are held to the Super Living Wage. He stated it does not work out for operators to pay this wage to servers.

Mr. Silver stated then because of this Super Living Wage they are unable to find an operator.

Mr. Romo stated the Living Wage is a City of Los Angeles ordinance and the Super Living Wage applies to LAWA but is also mandated by the City of Los Angeles.

Mr. Miller asked if all the restaurants at LAX are paying these wages.

Mr. Romo stated every concession at LAX pays the Super Living Wage and there are very few exceptions.

Mr. Miller asked if they were intending for the restaurant to be full service.

Mr. Sause stated both kinds of operators have come through to look at the restaurant.
Mr. Miller stated a window service would have less labor impact rather than a full service restaurant and asked if this is the case.

Mr. Sause stated no.

Mr. Rankell asked how many square feet the restaurant is.

Mr. McDonald stated approximately 7000 square feet.

Mr. Rankell asked what else this space could be used for.

Mr. Sause stated they thought of a limited food, grab and go use, but the space is not good for customer flow.

Mr. Miller stated Southern California Golf is making great headway with their improvements. He asked what the impact would be if the restaurant space remained vacant for a long period of time.

Mr. McDonald stated it would be a negative impact and they continue to look for different options.

Mr. Merkin asked if the site is approved for catering.

Mr. McDonald stated they have thought of that but the kitchen is in need of updating.

Mr. Sullivan stated the fence on Vanowen at the Van Nuys Golf Course is in need of repair.

Mr. McDonald stated the chain link fence is going to be repaired.

Mr. Williams asked if most of the customers for the restaurant would come from the Van Nuys Golf Course or the outside community.

Mr. McDonald stated something like Billingsleys, a full service restaurant, would draw inside and outside customers. They are looking at all kinds of options for food.

Mr. Williams asked if they have gone to the City of Los Angeles and explained the situation in regards to the Super Living Wage and the issue they are having.

Mr. Sause stated no they have not but they want to complete all the repairs and improvements before going back to the City.

Mr. Williams asked if they have done a survey of golfers on what they want.

Mr. McDonald stated he knows they want a restaurant like Billingsleys.

Mr. Oeland stated he would take customers to Billingsleys at least once a week and everybody liked it.

Mr. Silver asked if the Proud Bird at LAX is subject to the Living Wage. He also asked about the 94th Aero Squadron.
Mr. Romo stated the 94th is not on airport property. He also stated the Proud Bird is subject to the living wage unless it has an old holdover lease prior to the living wage. He stated in the 11 years that the living was has been in effect, there has never been an exception to any entity, but that does not mean it could not happen.

Mr. Miller asked if there has ever been compensation in lease rates to offset the living wage.

Mr. Romo stated probably not.

Mr. Sause stated they have outreached to kids with Golf Clinics and hopefully the repairs will get more people from the community to the Golf Course.

Mr. Merkin stated they acknowledge the success they have achieved since taking over the Van Nuys Golf Course.

B. VNY Part 150 NEM (Noise Exposure Map) Update Presentation (Follow-up) – Discussion/Action

Mr. Tatro stated he has returned to update the Council on Mr. Silver's comment regarding the future projections of propeller and helicopter operations.

Mr. Tatro stated the following:

"With the forecast that you do under a Part 150, the FAA really has set very specific guidelines that defined how the forecast development is done. HMMH was hired and Ted Baldwin has been here talking about the Part 161, they did the noisier aircraft phase out regulation and EIR for that. He's the one working on the Part 150 as well. One of the things they have to do in forecasting is look at specific trends nationally and locally. We looked at the local tower counts and the operations log when the tower is closed. That all got submitted and a forecast was developed and NEM updated. And that has to be pre-submitted to the FAA. What the FAA is looking for is, are your projections similar to the terminal area forecast that they do for all the tower operator facilities across the country. And if you're within 10%, they tend to approve things. When we projected the 2011 base year and the 2016 base year against the new terminal area forecast that came out in late 2010 we were actually within 3-3 1/2% for the future year projection. The forecast has been approved by the FAA before this document was put together in draft form before they ever really did any of the noise modeling. HMMH were really looking into helicopter, propeller, and jet operations at this airport, which is not typical for a Part 150 study but more typical of a Part 161 study. They used 18 helicopter types and 18 propeller types, over 700 helicopter and propeller flight tracks, which is above and beyond what they had to do."

Mr. Miller stated this is a study/model, but if it was proposed there would be a reduction, why does the current model not show one and when will we see a reduction.

Mr. Tatro stated part of it is there is a dramatic increase in jet operations and a reduction in propeller operations. The mix of aircraft is changing and jets really drive the contour. Phasing out Stage 2 is a tiny minority, while the propeller aircraft trend downward.

Mr. Miller stated this is just a model and it may change with the Prop Park opening soon and quieter jets coming in.
Mr. Silver stated Mr. Tatro is one of the best at this and he appreciates all he has done. He also stated that it's not fair to say the model is inaccurate, if it's accurate enough to satisfy the Feds. He would like to see some shrinkage in the physical perimeter of that contour over the next five years or tell the public the airports going to get noisier.

Mr. Oeland stated the efficiency of aircraft is getting better and quieter so that is an offset that cannot be projected.

Mr. Miller thanked Mr. Tatro for the update.

C. Customs at VNY – Discussion/Action
Mr. Romo stated Signature Aviation is the FBO that will provide space for a Custom's Facility at VNY. However, nothing has been finalized and things could change. There is an agreement in principle, but funding needs to be approved, a set of user fees need to be established, and a commitment from Customs and Border Protection (CBP) is required. Mr. Romo stated he should have more of an update towards the end of the year and he will relay that to the Council.

Mr. Rankell asked if all the tenants would be able to use the facility.

Mr. Romo stated yes, as long as they share in the cost because for VNY it will be revenue neutral. He stated users will have to see how much it is to build the facility and recapture this cost over some period of time. CBP is going to charge them so much per hour with a minimum of so many hours per job. At the end of this a fee will be coming up that has to be paid. This will not be a profit center for Signature or anyone else.

Mr. Miller stated the facility does a couple of things, all the operators will benefit because tenants will have direct flights out of VNY. Signature will also benefit with transients that are coming and will likely fuel at their ramp if they are flying into VNY.

Mr. Silver asked if there will be any environmental requirements for the facility.

Mr. Miller stated he does not know what type of facility they are building.

Mr. Rankell stated we should not see a dramatic increase in operations.

Mr. Miller stated he does not think they will see a dramatic increase.

Mr. Romo stated the facility will be built in an existing footprint and therefore no new structure will be built.

Ms. Rabe stated there is a possibility there will be an increase in operations when this facility is up and running with foreign aircraft flying through with noisier jets.

Mr. Miller stated noisier jets will not be flying internationally to the USA.

Mr. Sanders asked when the last time there was CBP facility at VNY was.

Mr. Romo stated 10 years ago and unlike now, there was not requirement of a facility back then.

2.) REPORT FROM CHAIR
Mr. Miller stated he is looking at the lack of CAC member attendance at meetings and asked Ms. Ghokassian to create an attendance log for January – November 2011.

Mr. Miller stated he has not seen any construction progress with VNY leaseholders that were supposed to make improvements per their lease. He asked if there is any way to enforce this.

Mr. Romo stated he is not privy to lease discussions but he is briefed and he gets copies of discussions between Mr. Steve Martin and Commercial Development Group (CDG) and lessees/tenants that have made commitments through a competitive award of a lease of paying this rent and are supposed to put in so much money in capital improvements. In some cases they have made exceptions but Mr. Romo believes the airport’s intent is to hold them to that financial commitment but the timing of the commitment comes in.

Mr. Miller stated the leases the airport offers are short compared to other real estate development leases, usually 30 years. The lessee has to amortize the money they will spend on a leasehold over the 30 years. After 30 years, the property goes back to the airport. Some tenants will say that it doesn’t make sense to spend millions of dollars to own something for five years. There has got to be a line that these commitments were made and now there are deviations that need to be dealt with.

Mr. Rankell asked if the leases are public documents.

Mr. Romo stated yes they are.

Mr. Rankell asked if a sub-committee should be created to review the leases.

Mr. Miller stated before they do that he asked if Mr. Romo could provide him with a thumbnail sketch of leases that have deferments and then they could see if there is an issue.

Mr. Flam stated the Chair and Mr. Romo should work with Mr. Martin for an update.

Mr. Miller stated he will call Mr. Romo to discuss.

Mr. Romo stated he will reach out to Mr. Martin regarding this issue.

3.) BOAC AGENDA ITEMS CONCERNING VNY
No items were discussed.

4.) PUBLIC COMMENT
Mr. George Abrahams, a Beachwood Canyon resident, stated his group is seeking support from elected officials, Hollywood Bowl, and the LA Zoo. They have had support from Congressman Adam Schiff’s Office to appeal the FAA’s denial to their petition.

Ms. Fran Reichenbach, President of the Beachwood Canyon Neighborhood Association, handed out copies of a brochure (attached) regarding a helicopter service that allows passengers, with only 15-minutes of training, to fly the helicopter with the pilot. She stated this is very unsafe.

Ms. Rabe asked if they have gone to the FAA with this brochure.

Ms. Reichenbach stated no they have not.
Mr. Miller stated what this brochure constitutes is a flight lesson with a certified flight instructor. He advised they not lose focus of their cause.

Ms. Reichenbach stated a helicopter pilot advised them that their cause is ruining their business. She feels they are headed for a fight on this issue.

Mr. Merkin stated he received a newsletter from Assemblymember Mike Feuer regarding the Beachwood Canyon’s FAA proposal. Mr. Merkin stated the Assemblymember is a good ally to have.

Ms. Reichenbach stated they have heard otherwise from the Assemblymember’s representative and will be meeting with the Assemblymember to discuss their issue.

Mr. Williams stated he can help them with the meeting.

Mr. Abrahams stated the motion made by the CAC helped their cause.

Mr. Silver stated 12-13 years ago when Assemblymember Feuer was a Councilman he supported control of helicopter flights in the Sherman Oaks area but ran up against the FAA.

Mr. Peter Harts, President of the Toluca Lake Homeowner’s Association, stated the noise in his area is usually caused by Universal Studios, but recently they have been getting helicopter noise complaints. He asked what the flight rules are for helicopters.

Mr. Williams stated he is the head of the helicopter noise committee and the best thing for them to do is get involved with the Hollywood Hills group.

Mr. Harts stated he will report back to his group on their next step.

Mr. Glenn Baily, member of the public, stated the Valley Alliance Neighborhood Council is a great way to get to all the Valley Neighborhood Councils for outreach of the CAC. He stated the group meets monthly and it’s very easy to get on the agenda.

5.) NEW BUSINESS

A. Suggestions on how to increase awareness of the CAC – Discussion/Action

Mr. Merkin stated they need to reach out to the Homeowner’s Associations and the Neighborhood Councils to raise awareness of the CAC.

Mr. Miller stated he is concerned about CAC participation and the vast number of pilots who don’t know about the CAC or what they do.

Mr. Sanders suggested reaching out to the VNAA President to attend the CAC meetings.

Mr. Miller asked Ms. Sanchez if she communicates with tenants.

Ms. Sanchez stated the VNAA usually reaches out to tenants directly but she has ways to forward information to tenants.
Mr. Romo stated when he attends the VNAA meeting every month he reminds them of the CAC meeting. The meetings are the 1st Tuesday of the month, the VNAA meeting is at 4pm and the CAC is at 7pm.

Mr. Miller stated he used to attend the VNAA meeting but felt they were not accomplishing much.

Mr. Frazier stated the VNAA provides attendees with food but the CAC does not and maybe they should hold an event with food to get more people to attend.

Mr. Miller stated he is looking for ways to get people (area residents, business owners, and pilots) to attend the CAC meetings.

Mr. Williams stated the most successful community groups are the ones who provide food.

Mr. Miller stated maybe we can hold an annual CAC BBQ.

Mr. Williams stated when the Prop Park is built they can hold their meetings in their conference room and charge for lunch from the restaurant at the Prop Park.

Mr. Geiland asked if there has been any progress with the clean-up around the airport.

Mr. Merkin stated there has not been any progress.

Mr. Williams stated the Sherman Way tunnel needs cleaning again.

B. Los Angeles City Council support of Santa Monica Airport Closure – Discussion/Action

Mr. Miller stated he added this item to the agenda due to an online article (attached) regarding this issue.

Mr. Sanders stated he has been getting inquiries from Santa Monica Airport (SMO) propeller pilots to relocate to VNY due to this article. He stated this will increase operations, fuel sales, and noise at VNY if SMO is closed. He stated he wanted to make a motion.

Mr. Flam asked if Mr. Bickhart of the Mayor’s Office had an opinion on this.

Mr. Bickhart stated he sat in on a meeting discussing this very issue. The meeting included Councilmember Bill Rosendahl. The issue is the City of Santa Monica that runs SMO has planes taking off, veering left over Venice, and then they head over the ocean. This affects Councilmember Rosendahl’s constituents and that is why he is involved.

Mr. Miller stated they take this route due to the restricted airspace over LAX.

Mr. Bickhart stated back in the 1980’s the City of Santa Monica did try to close the airport and made a 30 year deal that is now coming to an end. Councilmember Rosendahl is trying to get the City of Los Angeles to support the closure.

Mr. Miller stated he understands that SMO will be closing in 2015.

Mr. Bickhart stated the first thing that Councilmember Rosendahl wants to focus on is the flight schools; he believes they are the cause of a lot of the issues.
Mr. Silver stated the possible closure of SMO has both positive and negative effects on VNY. He invited Ms. Linda Levitan to get involved and to brief Councilman Tony Cardenas on this issue so that the CAC has a voice.

Mr. Rankell asked if there are any Stage 2 aircraft at SMO.

Mr. Williams stated no.

Mr. Rankell asked what the helicopter and jet noise is like at SMO.

Mr. Williams stated it is relatively noisy.

Mr. Merkin asked if they want to make a motion that addresses issues of using City funds to support the closure of SMO.

Mr. Ortiz asked if there should be a motion made.

Mr. Sanders introduced a motion as follows:

“The Citizen’s Advisory Council opposes any spending of Los Angeles City funds for use in supporting the Santa Monica City closure of the Santa Monica Airport. It further opposes the closure of Santa Monica Airport.”

The motion passed unanimously with one abstention.

Mr. Flam stated he feels they need more information regarding this issue and moved to table the motion until the January 2012 meeting.

The council voted on tabling the motion, 11 opposed and 1 was in favor.

Mr. Silver stated he does not think they should delay this.

Mr. Schultz agreed with Mr. Silver.

Mr. Bickhart stated the motion should go to the Trade Commerce Tourism Committee.

6.) OLD BUSINESS

A. Committee Reports – Discussion/Action
   1. Status of Prop Park Development – Discussion/Action
      Mr. Romo stated there was a walk-thru of the Prop Park with Airport Operations, CDG staff, and Mr. Steve Argubright. They are working on plans to reposition some hangars. The work will occur in three phases over a 35 month period.

      Mr. Sanders stated permits have been granted for grating and moving hangars.

7.) EMERGENCY ITEMS SINCE POSTING OF AGENDA – Discussion/Action
   No items were discussed.
8.) ADVISORY COUNCIL MEMBERS’ COMMENTS – NON-AGENDA ITEMS
Mr. Oelander stated on Roscoe he has had three flat tires and there is also trash and junk from cars left behind. He asked what can be done about this.

Mr. Romo stated he needs to call 311 and report it to Street Services.

Mr. Sullivan stated the trash on Roscoe is a real problem.

Mr. Williams stated he will not be able to attend the December Holiday Dinner.

9) ADJOURNMENT:
9:30 p.m.